



Summary :

The Black Sea was an area of strategic importance to the Byzantine Empire, which throughout its history was struggling to maintain its control, or at least its influence, over the region, against peoples constantly claiming it. For that reason, Byzantine diplomacy made use of various means, with varying degrees of success.

Date

4th - 15th c.

Geographical Location

Black Sea

1. The elements of historical geography

Control of the Black Sea was the Byzantine Empire's constant aim throughout its history. The main purpose was to discourage foreign powers from settling there and threatening [Constantinople](#) itself, the privileged position of which offered the ability to control access to and from the Black Sea, by controlling the adjacent regions' [entrepôt trade](#).

The empire's immediate sphere of influence in the Black Sea included its western coast as far as the mouth of the Danube, the Crimea and [Asia Minor](#). The region of modern Ukraine was also important for Byzantium, because of the navigable rivers emptying into the Black Sea between the Danube and the Caucasus, opening ways for Byzantine trade reaching as far as central and northern Europe and Scandinavia. As regards the [Crimea](#), only its southern and southeastern parts were Byzantine territory, because their lands were fertile, they were and of great commercial and strategic importance in relation to Russia, and presented defensive advantages.¹ The Black Sea was indispensable in meeting the Byzantine capital's demanding need for grain supplies, particularly after the Arabs conquered Egypt.² Furthermore, naphtha (a petroleum byproduct), the main ingredient of Byzantium's "secret weapon", [Greek fire](#), oozed from natural springs mainly in the region between the Caspian and Black Seas.³

2. The Early Byzantine period

Already from the time of [Constantine the Great](#) (324-337) the greater part of the Black Sea hinterland had slipped from the empire's control: the northern rivers, the mountain passes in the Caucasus, even the Danube plain, abandoned by Rome in the third century.⁴ The raids of the [Goths](#) in the second half of the third century had further weakened Rome's hold of the region, while a century later the [Huns](#) crushed (*ca.* 370) the Ostrogoths (who had conquered the area north of the Black Sea) and founded an empire that stretched from the Caspian Sea to the Rhine.⁵ Justinian I (527-565) succeeded in establishing Byzantine authority over a large part of the Black Sea, having secured, among other things, a Persian resignation (treaty of 561) from any claim to Lazica, on the eastern corner of the Black Sea,⁶ which thus became a Byzantine lake for the most part. Indeed, in order to secure control over the regions of the Caucasus and the Crimea, also claimed by the Persians, in the sixth century Byzantium had instigated a grandiose missionary scheme among the people of the those regions (Abasgians, [Alans](#), Goths, Laz etc.).⁷ Procopius describes in the *Buildings* Justinian's building activity as regards [fortifications](#) on the shores of the Black Sea, aiming at strengthening Byzantine positions. The construction of an aqueduct in [Trebizond](#) is noteworthy, while the repair of the city walls of Cimmerian Bosphorus and [Cherson](#) in the Crimea was significant.⁸

3. Byzantine policy in the Black sea during the seventh - tenth c.

The second half of the seventh century saw the foundation of the [Khazar](#) state, which until its destruction in the tenth century by the Kievan [Rus'](#) (Russians) will expand from the Black to the Caspian Sea and from the Caucasus to the western bank of the Lower Volga. Although other people (Bulgarians, [Pechenegs](#)) claimed parts of the Black Sea area, the Khazars became the strongest power



in the region,⁹ where they came into the focus of Byzantine diplomacy.

In ca. 626 [Heraclius](#) (610-641) made an alliance with the Khazars¹⁰ and succeeded in crushing Persian forces in the southern Caucasus, paving the way for an invasion to the Persian state itself. Later the Khazars proved valuable allies against the Arabs as well, but there were also moments of tension between them and the Byzantines, mainly due to clashes over the eastern Crimea.¹¹

The primary objective of Byzantine foreign policy in the Black Sea, particularly its northern part, was the preservation of the integrity of the frontier in the Danube and the Haemus mountain range, against an endless series of raids conducted by “barbarian” nations. Particularly in the seventh - tenth centuries, these nations included [Bulgarians](#), Avars, Khazars, Magyars, Pechenegs, and Rus’. Byzantine foreign policy, therefore, was of a defensive character, while the consequences of its failures were particularly poignant.¹²

In order to implement its policy in the northern Black Sea, Byzantium relied on maintaining control over [Cherson](#) and other regions along the southeastern coast of the Crimea,¹³ from which it kept an eye on developments and moves by potential enemies in the steppe of south Russia. Therefore, the Crimea was the key in a Byzantine early-warning system on the empire’s northern frontier. However, for the system to function uninterrupted, two problems had to be faced: Cherson’s tendency to be autonomous from Constantinople; and the wish of neighboring states or people (Khazars, Magyars, Pechenegs, and Rus’) to appropriate Byzantine Crimea.¹⁴

Regarding the second problem, when Byzantium itself was unable to intervene militarily, Byzantine diplomacy attempted to provide a solution, by the notorious tactic of “divide and rule”, playing off one people against the other, through gifts and monetary subsidies.¹⁵ Should that method fail, imperial policy possessed other “weapons”, the most important of which was religion, since the Byzantines were convinced that Christianizing the barbarians brought them within the empire’s sphere of influence and made them more amenable to negotiations. Thus the Bulgarians were converted to Christianity in the ninth century, while an attempt to Christianize the Khazars in the same century met with failure.¹⁶

The Rus’ had launched a number of attacks against [Constantinople](#), sailing across the Black Sea (beginning in 860). The Byzantines were quick to realize that the Rus’ could be useful commercial partners and allies, against whom they could use the neighboring Pechenegs whenever they judged that the Rus’ had to be contained.¹⁷ In 988 Vladimir (972-1015), ruler of Kiev, [became a Christian](#), and his baptism open the way for Byzantine cultural influences that would shape the civilization of medieval Russia.¹⁸

4. Byzantium’s gradual loss of control over the Black Sea (eleventh - fifteenth c.)

Although the Black Sea littoral of Asia Minor had been Byzantine for centuries, the arrival of the nomadic [Türkmen](#) would gradually alter the situation in the greater Asia Minor area in favor of the Turks. The Seljuk Turks’ victory against the Byzantines in [Mantzikert](#), Armenia, in 1071 open the way for a large-scale Türkmen migration as far as the Aegean.¹⁹ In the following centuries, control over a large part of Asia Minor would gradually pass in the hands of various local Turkish emirs coming from the Türkmen hordes. The arrival of the [Mongols](#) in the thirteenth century resulted in an even greater immigration of Türkmen nomads, ending in a new nexus of emirates, the authority of which reached the Black Sea coast. In fact, in 1215/6 the Seljuks succeeded in establishing a permanent naval base at [Sinope](#).²⁰

The Byzantines, however, were not to lose control of the Black Sea to one of the aforementioned opponents, but to the Westerners. The [Fourth Crusade](#) led to the [fall of Constantinople](#) (1204) and the partition of the Byzantine Empire among Crusaders and [Venetians](#). Greek successor states emerged in former Byzantine territories, the strongest being the [empire of Nicaea](#) in western Asia Minor. In 1204, however, the [empire of Trebizond](#) was also founded, by the brothers [Alexios](#) (the First [1204-1222]) and [David Komnenos](#), grandsons of emperor [Andronikos I Komnenos](#) (1183-1185).²¹ The southeastern coast of the Black Sea remained under the authority of Trebizond until 1460,²² while the important city of Cherson accepted Trebizond’s suzerainty from the first years of the empire’s foundation.²³



During the reign of the [Komnenoi](#) (1081-1185) and their successors, the Italian maritime cities of Venice, [Genoa](#) and Pisa had received numerous commercial privileges in Byzantine territory. However, up to and including the twelfth century, Byzantium seems to have maintained a trade monopoly in the Black Sea and did not allow foreign merchants to travel there.²⁴ But in 1261, with the [treaty of Nymphaion](#), signed in return for assistance offered by their fleet against the Venetians in the imminent [recapture of Constantinople](#), [Michael VIII Palaiologos](#) (1259-1282) gave the Genoese numerous customs exemptions and trading bases. Thus [Genoa](#) cemented its power in the Levant. It slowly dominated trade in the Black Sea, where the Genoese colony of [Caffa \(Theodosia\)](#) in the Crimea was the centre of a profitable economic region.²⁵ The Byzantines had forever lost the profits from this trade, but by now the time of the Ottomans had come: in 1453 [Constantinople was conquered](#), in 1461 [Trebizond](#) was taken and in 1475 the whole of the Crimean peninsula fell into their hands.²⁶

1. Koder, J., *To Βυζάντιο ως χώρος. Εισαγωγή στην ιστορική γεωγραφία της Ανατολικής Μεσογείου στη βυζαντινή εποχή*, trans. Δ. Χ. Σταθακόπουλος (Thessaloniki 2005), pp. 32-33.
2. Dagron, G., *Η γέννηση μιας πρωτεύουσας. Η Κωνσταντινούπολη και οι θεσμοί της από το 330 ως το 451*, trans. Μ. Λουκάκη (Athens 2000), pp. 604-606; Laiou, A., "Exchange and Trade, Seventh-Twelfth Centuries", in eadem (ed.), *The Economic history of Byzantium. From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century* (Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection 39, Washington D.C. 2002), pp. 702, 721, 741, 748..
3. Κωνσταντίνος Ζ' Πορφυρογέννητος, *Πρὸς τὸ νῆδιον τὸν βασιλέα τῶν Ῥωμαίων*, 53, ed. G. Moravcsik, trans. R.J.H. Jenkins, *Constantine Porphyrogenitus, De administrando imperio* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 1, Washington, D.C. 1967), pp. 284.493-286.511. Cf. Κορρές, Θ. Κ., «Υἱὸς τοῦ βασιλέως: Ἐνα ὄπλο της βυζαντινῆς ναυτικῆς τακτικῆς» (Thessaloniki 1995), p. 80; King, Ch., *The Black Sea: A History* (Oxford 2004), p. 72.
4. King, Ch., *The Black Sea: A History* (Oxford 2004), p. 67.
5. Γιαννακόπουλος, Κ. Ι., *Μεσαιωνικός δυτικός πολιτισμός και οι κόσμοι του Βυζαντίου και του Ισλάμ*, trans. Π. Κ. Χρήστου (Thessaloniki 1993), pp. 69ff.
6. Λουγγής, Τ., *Ιουστινιανός Πέτρος Σαββάτιος. Κοινωνία, πολιτική και ιδεολογία τον 6^ο μ.Χ. αιώνα* (Thessaloniki 2005), p. 362.
7. Πατούρα-Σπανού, Σ., "Ὁψεις της βυζαντινῆς διπλωματίας", in eadem (ed.), *Διπλωματία και πολιτική. Ιστορική προσέγγιση* (Athens 2005), pp. 141ff.; eadem, "Το Βυζάντιο και ο εκχριστιανισμός των λαών του Καυκάσου και της Κριμαίας (6ος αι.)", *Σύμμεικτα* 8 (1989), pp. 405-434.
8. Προκόπιος, *Περὶ Κτισμάτων*, III.7.1-12, ed. J. Haury, G. Wirth, *Procopii Caesariensis opera omnia IV: De aedificiis libri VI* (Leipzig 1964), pp. 99.13-101.7.
9. Zuckerman, C., "Byzantium's Pontic Policy in the *Notitiae Episcopatumum*", in idem (ed.), *La Crimée entre Byzance et le Khaganat khazar* (Paris 2006), p. 201.
10. Θεοφάνης, *Χρονογραφία*, ed. C. de Boor, *Theophanis Chronographia I* (Leipzig 1883), p. 315.14-16; Νικηφόρος πατριάρχης Κωνσταντινουπόλεως, *Ἱστορία σύντομος*, 12, ed. - trans. C. Mango, *Nikephoros Patriarch of Constantinople, Short History* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 13, Washington, D.C. 1990), p. 54.16-19.
11. Noonan, T. S., "Byzantium and the Khazars: A Special Relationship?", in Shepard, J. - Franklin, S. (eds.), *Byzantine Diplomacy. Papers from the Twenty-fourth Spring Symposium of Byzantine Studies, Cambridge, March 1990* (Aldershot 1992), p. 132. On the Byzantine – Khazar alliance, see Lounghis, T.C., "Byzantine Political Encounters concerning Eastern Europe (V-XI Centuries)", *Byzantina et Slavica Cracoviensia* 3 (2001), pp. 17-25. On the Khazars, see also Κραλίδης, Α.Φ., *Οι Χάζαροι και το Βυζάντιο. Ιστορική και θρησκευτική προσέγγιση* (Athens 2003).
12. For example, when the Bulgarians under Asparuch (681-702) succeeded in expanding in the area between the Danube and the Haemus mountain range in the 670s, they settled in the region that came to be known as Bulgaria. Afterwards their increased to such a degree, that in the tenth century tsar Symeon (893-927) attempted to capture the Byzantine throne (see Browning, R., *Byzantium and Bulgaria: A Comparative Study across the Early Medieval Frontier* [London 1975], pp. 45-78).



13. On Cherson, see among others Romancuk, A.I., *Studien zur Geschichte und Archaologie des byzantinischen Cherson* (Colloquia Pontica 11, Leiden - Boston 2005); Bortoli, A. - Kazanski, M., "Kherson and its Region", in Laiou, A. (ed.), *The Economic history of Byzantium. From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century* (Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection 39, Washington D.C. 2002), pp. 659-665. On Cherson's importance for Byzantium, see Λουγγής, Τ., *Κωνσταντίνου Ζ' Πορφυρογέννητου, De administrando imperio (Πρός τὸ νῆ διον υἷ ὀ ν' Ρωμανόν)*, *Μία μέθοδος ἀνάγνωσης* (Thessaloniki 1990), pp. 149-155.
14. Noonan, T.S., "Byzantium and the Khazars: A Special Relationship?", in Shepard, J. - Franklin, S. (eds.), *Byzantine Diplomacy. Papers from the Twenty-fourth Spring Symposium of Byzantine Studies, Cambridge, March 1990* (Aldershot 1992), p. 118.
15. For example, emperor Constantine VII Porphyrogenitus (913-959) enumerates the peoples (e.g. Uzes, Alans) that could be used against the Khazars (Πρός τὸ νῆ διον υἷ ὀ ν' Ρωμανόν, 10-12, ed. G. Moravcsik, trans. R.J.H. Jenkins, *Constantine Porphyrogenitus, De administrando imperio* [Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 1, Washington, D.C. 1967], pp. 62.1-64.17).
16. Λουγγής, Τ. Κ., *Επισκόπηση βυζαντινῆς ιστορίας Α' (324-1204)* (Μελέτες ΚΜΕ, Athens ²1998), pp. 225-226.
17. Κωνσταντίνος Ζ' Πορφυρογέννητος, *Πρός τὸ νῆ διον υἷ ὀ ν' Ρωμανόν*, 2, 4, ed. G. Moravcsik, trans. R.J.H. Jenkins, *Constantine Porphyrogenitus, De administrando imperio* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 1, Washington, D.C. 1967), pp. 48.1-50.23, 50.1-52.13. On the Pechenegs, see for instance Malamut, E., "L'image byzantine des Petchénègues", *Byzantinische Zeitschrift* 88 (1995), pp. 105-147.
18. King, Ch., *The Black Sea: A History* (Oxford 2004), pp. 75-77. On the Rus' in general, see Hellmann M. (ed.), *Handbuch der Geschichte Rußlands I: Von der Kiever Reichsbildung bis zum Moskauer Zartum* (Stuttgart 1981); Franklin, S. - Shepard, J., *The Emergence of Rus 750-1200* (London 1996); Kazanski, M. - Nersessian, A. - Zuckerman, C. (eds.), *Les centres proto-urbains russes entre Scandinavie, Byzance et Orient* (Réalités Byzantines 7, Paris 2000).
19. Βρυώνης, Σπ., *Η παρακμή του μεσαιωνικού ελληνισμού στη Μικρά Ασία και η διαδικασία του εξισλαμισμού (11ος-15ος αιώνας)*, trans. Κ. Γαλαταριώτου (Athens ²2000), pp. 61ff.
20. Browning, R., "Black Sea", in Kazhdan, A. P. (ed.), *The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium* 1 (New York - Oxford 1991), p. 293.
21. On the empire of Trebizond see Miller, W., *Trebizond: The Last Greek Empire of the Byzantine Era, 1204-1461* (London 1926, repr. Chicago 1969); Bryer, A., *The Empire of Trebizond and the Pontos* (Collected Studies 117, London 1980); Σαββίδης, Α.Γ.Κ., *Οι Μεγάλοι Κομνηνοί της Τραπεζούντας και του Πόντου. Ιστορική επισκόπηση της βυζαντινῆς αυτοκρατορίας του μικρασιατικού ελληνισμού (1204-1461)* (Athens 2005).
22. Browning, R., "Black Sea", in Kazhdan, A. P. (ed.), *The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium* 1 (New York - Oxford 1991), p. 294.
23. Pritsak, O. - Cutler, A., "Cherson", in Kazhdan, A.P. (ed.), *The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium* 1 (New York - Oxford 1991), p. 419.
24. See for instance Nystazopoulou-Pélékidis, M., "Venise et la mer Noire du XIe au XVe siècle (Πiv. Δ'-E')", *Θησαυρίσματα* 7 (1970), p. 18; Day, G. W., "Manuel and the Genoese: A Reappraisal of Byzantine Commercial Policy in the Late Twelfth Century", *Journal of Economic History* 37/2 (June 1977), p. 299; Lilie, R.-J., *Handel und Politik zwischen dem byzantinischen Reich und den italienischen Kommunen Venedig, Pisa und Genua in der Epoche der Komnenen und der Angeloi (1081-1204)*, (Amsterdam 1984) pp. 272-273; Laiou, A., "Exchange and Trade, Seventh-Twelfth Centuries", in eadem (ed.), *The Economic history of Byzantium. From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century* (Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection 39, Washington D.C. 2002), p. 748. However, Martin, M.E., "The First Venetians in the Black Sea", *Αρχαίον Πόντου* 35 (1978), pp. 111-122, claims that although the Venetians as well as the Genoese had access to the Black Sea during the twelfth century, they were hardly interested in the region. Also, Λαΐου, Α., "Η ανάπτυξη της οικονομικής παρουσίας της Δύσεως στην ανατολική Μεσόγειο και Εγγύς Ανατολή", in *Ιστορία του Ελληνικού Έθνους Θ'* (Athens 1980), p. 62, mentions that in the Komnenian era Byzantium retained a monopoly of trade in the Black Sea, but leaves open the possibility that an exception had been made regarding the Genoese.
25. Matschke, K.-P., "Commerce, Trade, Markets, and Money: Thirteenth-Fifteenth Centuries", in Laiou, A. (ed.), *The Economic history of Byzantium. From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century* (Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection 39, Washington D.C. 2002), p. 771.
26. Pritsak, O., "Crimea", in Kazhdan, A. P. (ed.), *The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium* 1 (New York - Oxford 1991), p. 548.



Bibliography :

	Winfield D., Bryer A. , <i>The Byzantine Monuments and Topography of the Pontos, 1 (With Maps and Plans by R. Anderson, and Drawings by J. Winfield)</i> , Birmingham 1985, Dumbarton Oaks Studies 20
	Θεοφάνης , <i>Χρονογραφία</i> , de Boor, C. (ed.), <i>Theophanis Chronographia</i> , Leipzig 1883
	Κορομηλά Μ. , <i>Οι Έλληνες στη Μαύρη Θάλασσα. Από την εποχή του Χαλκού ως τις αρχές του 20ού αιώνα</i> , Αθήνα 1991
	Bryer A.A.M., Winfield D. , <i>The Byzantine Monuments and Topography of the Pontos, 2 (With Maps and Plans by R. Anderson and Drawings by J. Winfield)</i> , Washington D.C. 1985, Dumbarton Oaks Studies 20
	Zuckerman C. , "The Early-Byzantine Strongholds in Eastern Pontus", <i>Travaux et Mémoires</i> , 11, 1991, 527-553
	Miller W. , <i>Trebizond. The Last Greek Empire of the Byzantine Era, 1204-1461</i> , London 1926
	Bratianu G.J. , <i>Recherches sur le commerce génois dans la Mer Noire au XIIIe siècle</i> , Paris 1929
	Balard M. , <i>La mer Noire et la Roumanie génoise: XIIIe-XVe siècles</i> , London 1989
	Bryer, A.A.M. (ed.) , <i>The Empire of Trebizond and the Pontos</i> , London 1980, Variorum Reprints Collected Studies
	Σαββίδης Α. , <i>Οι Μεγάλοι Κομνηνοί της Τραπεζούντας και του Πόντου Ιστορική επισκόπηση της βυζαντινής αυτοκρατορίας του μικρασιατικού ελληνισμού (1204-1461)</i> , Αθήνα 2005
	Νικηφόρος Πατριάρχης , <i>Ιστορία σύντομος</i> , Mango, C. (ed.), <i>Nikephoros, Patriarch of Constantinople, Short History</i> , Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 13, Washington, D.C. 1990
	Κωνσταντίνος Πορφυρογέννητος , <i>Προς τον ίδιον υιόν Ρωμανόν</i> , Moravcsik, G. – Jenkins, R.J.H. (eds), <i>Constantine Porphyrogenitus. De Administrando Imperio</i> , Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 1, Washington, D.C. 1967
	Lilie R.J. , <i>Handel und Politik zwischen dem byzantinischen Reich und den italienischen Kommunen Venedig, Pisa und Genua in der Epoche der Komnenen und Angeloi (1081-1204)</i> , Amsterdam 1984
	Laiou A.E. , "Exchange and Trade, Seventh-Twelfth Centuries", Laiou, A.E. (ed.), <i>The Economic History of Byzantium: From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century</i> , Dumbarton Oaks Research Library and Collection, Washington, D.C. 2002, 689-762
	Vryonis S. , <i>The Decline of Medieval Hellenism in Asia Minor and the Process of Islamization from the Eleventh through the Fifteenth Century</i> , Berkeley – Los Angeles – London 1971
	King C. , <i>The Black Sea. A History</i> , Oxford 2004
	Obolensky D. , "The Crimea and the North before 1204", <i>Αρχαίον Πόντου</i> , 35, 1978, 123-133
	Pritsak O. , "Crimea", Kazhdan, A. (ed.), <i>The Oxford History of Byzantium 1</i> , New York – Oxford 1991, 547-548



	Προκόπιος , <i>Περί κτισμάτων</i> , Haury, J. – Wirth, G. (eds), <i>Procopii Caesariensis opera omnia IV: De aedificiis libri VI</i> , Leipzig 1964
	Romančuk A.I. , <i>Studien zur Geschichte und Archäologie des byzantinischen Cherson</i> , Leiden – Boston 2005, <i>Colloquia Pontica</i> 11
	Zuckerman C. , "Byzantium's Pontic Policy in the Notitiae Episcopatum", Zuckerman, C. (ed.), <i>La Crimée entre Byzance et le Khaganat khazar</i> , Paris 2006, 202-218
	Browning R. , "Black Sea", Kazhdan, A. (ed.), <i>The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium I</i> , New York – Oxford 1991, 293-294
	Day G.W. , "Manuel II and the Genoese. A Reappraisal of Byzantine Commercial Policy in the Late Twelfth Century", <i>Journal of Economic History</i> , 37, 1977, 289-301
	Nystazopoulou-Pélékidis M. , "Venise et la mer Noire du XIe au XVe siècle", <i>Θησαυρίσματα</i> , 7, 1970, 15-51
	Λαΐου Α. , "Η ανάπτυξη της οικονομικής παρουσίας της Δύσεως στην ανατολική Μεσόγειο και Εγγύς Ανατολή", <i>Ιστορία του Ελληνικού Έθνους</i> 9, Αθήνα 1980, 61-66
	Bratianu G.J. , <i>La mer Noire. Des origines à la conquête ottomane</i> , München 1969, <i>Acta Historica</i> 9
	Shepard J., Franklin S. , <i>The Emergence of Rus 750-1200</i> , London 1996
	Γιαννακόπουλος Κ.Ι. , <i>Μεσαιωνικός δυτικός πολιτισμός και οι κόσμοι του Βυζαντίου και του Ισλάμ</i> , Θεσσαλονίκη 1993, Χρήστου, Π.Κ. (μτφρ.)
	Cutler A., Pritsak O. , "Cherson", Kazhdan, A. (ed.), <i>The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium I</i> , New York – Oxford 1991
	Noonan T.S. , "Byzantium and the Khazars: A Special Relationship?", Shepard, J. – Franklin, S. (eds), <i>Byzantine Diplomacy. Papers from the Twenty-fourth Spring Symposium of Byzantine Studies</i> , Aldershot 1992, 109-132
	Πατούρα Σ. , "Το Βυζάντιο και ο εκχριστιανισμός των λαών του Καυκάσου και της Κριμαίας (6ος αι.)", <i>Σύμμεικτα</i> , 8, 1989, 405-434
	Πατούρα-Σπανού Σ. , "Όψεις της βυζαντινής διπλωματίας", Πατούρα-Σπανού, Σ. (επιμ.), <i>Διπλωματία και πολιτική. Ιστορική προσέγγιση</i> , Αθήνα 2005, 131-164
	Gjuselev V. , <i>Medieval Bulgaria, Byzantine Empire, Black Sea - Venice - Genoa</i> , Villach 1988
	Lounghis T. , "Byzantine Political Encounters concerning Eastern Europe (V-XI Centuries)", <i>Byzantina et Slavica Cracoviensia</i> , 3, 2001, 17-25
	Malamut É. , "L'image byzantine des Petchénègues", <i>Byzantinische Zeitschrift</i> , 88, 1995, 105-147
	Κραλίδης Α.Φ. , <i>Οι Χάζαροι και το Βυζάντιο. Ιστορική και θρησκευτική προσέγγιση</i> , Αθήνα 2003
	Λαΐου Α. , "Διείσδυση των ιταλικών πόλεων στην Ανατολή και η διεθνής αγορά", <i>Ιστορία του Ελληνικού Έθνους</i> 9, Αθήνα 1980, 229-243
	Koder J. , <i>Το Βυζάντιο ως χώρος. Εισαγωγή στην ιστορική γεωγραφία της Ανατολικής Μεσογείου στη</i>



	βυζαντινή εποχή, Θεσσαλονίκη 2005, Σταθακόπουλος, Δ.Χ. (μτφρ.)
	Helmann, M. (ed.) , <i>Handbuch der Geschichte Rußlands I: Von der Kiever Reichsbildung bis zum Moskauer Zartum</i> , Stuttgart 1981
	Kazanski, M. – Nercessian, A. – Zuckerman, C. (eds) , <i>Les centres proto-urbains russes entre Scandinavie, Byzance et Orient</i> , Paris 2000, Réalités Byzantines 7
	Matschke K.P. , "Commerce, trade, markets, and money, Thirteenth-Fifteenth Centuries", Laiou, A. (ed.), <i>The Economic History of Byzantium from the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century</i> , Washington D.C. 2002, <i>Dumbarton Oaks Studies</i> 39, 771-806
	Kazanski M., Bortoli A. , "Kherson and Its Region", Laiou, A. (ed.), <i>The Economic History of Byzantium. From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century</i> , Washington 2002, <i>Dumbarton Oaks Studies</i> 39, 659-665

Glossary :

	Greek fire Incendiary mixture based on crude oil ('naphtha'), which was launched with the help of a pressure pump. Its used was spread in Byzantium towards the late 7th century and became one of the most powerful weapons of the Byzantine navy until the invention of canons.
--	---

Sources

Procopius, *Buildings* III.7.1-12, Haury, J. – Wirth, G. (eds), *Procopii Caesariensis opera omnia IV: De aedificiis libri VI* (Lipsiae 1964), pp. 99.13-101.7.

Theophanes, *Chronographia*, de Boor, C. (ed.), *Theophanis Chronographia I* (Lipsiae 1883), p. 315.14-16.

Nikephoros patriarch of Constantinople, *Short History* 12, Mango, C. (ed., trans.), *Nikephoros Patriarch of Constantinople, Short History* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 13, Washington, D.C. 1990), p. 54.16-19.

Moravcsik, G. (ed.) – Jenkins, R.J.H. (trans.), *Constantine Porphyrogenitus. De administrando imperio* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 1, Washington, D.C. 1967), pp. 48.1-52.13; 62.1-64.17; 284.493-286.511.

Quotations

Procopius on the fortifications of Justinian I in Crimaea:

Καὶ μὴν καὶ Βοσπόρου καὶ Χερσῶνος πόλεων, αἵπερ κατὰ τὴν ἐκείνη ἀκτὴν ἐπιθαλασσίδια μετὰ λίμνην τε τὴν Μαιώτιδα καὶ τοὺς Ταύρους καὶ Ταυροσκύθας ἐν ἐσχάτῳ οἰκοῦνται τῆς Ῥωμαίων ἀρχῆς, πεπονηκότα παντάπασιν τὰ τεῖχη εὐρῶν ἐς μέγα τι κάλλους τε καὶ ἀσφαλείας κατεστήσατο χρῆμα. ἔνθα δὲ καὶ φρούρια πεποιήται τό τε Ἀλούστου καλούμενον καὶ τὸ ἐν Γορζουβίταις. διαφερόντως δὲ τὴν Βόσπορον τῷ ἐρύματι ἐκρατύνατο, ἦνπερ ἐκ παλαιοῦ βεβαρβαρωμένην καὶ ὑπὸ τοῖς Οὐννοῖς κειμένην ἐς τὸ Ῥωμαίων αὐτὸς μετήνεγκε κράτος. ἔστι δὲ τις ἐνταῦθα χώρα κατὰ τὴν παραλίαν, Δόρου ὄνομα, ἴνα δὲ ἐκ παλαιοῦ Γότθοι ᾤκηται, ... ὅπη ποτὲ δὲ τῶν ἐκείνη χωρίων βάσιμα εὐπετῶς τοῖς ἐπιούσιν ἐδόκει εἶναι, ταύτας δὲ τευχίσμασι μακροῖς τὰς εἰσόδους περιβαλὼν, τὰς ἐκ τῆς ἐφόδου φροντίδας ἀνέστειλε Γότθοις.

Procopius, *Buildings* III.7.10-17, Haury, J. – Wirth, G. (eds), *Procopii Caesariensis opera omnia IV: De aedificiis libri VI* (Lipsiae 1964), pp. 100.24-101.25.

Heraclius allies the Khazars against the Persians (626):

...τὸ δὲ τρίτον μέρος [του στρατοῦ] αὐτὸς [ο Ἡράκλειος] λαβὼν ἐπὶ Λαζικὴν ἐχώρει, καὶ ἐν ταύτῃ διατρίβων τοὺς Τούρκους ἐκ τῆς



έφας, οὓς Χάζαρεις ὀνομάζουσιν, εἰς συμμαχίαν προσεκαλέσατο.

Theophanes, *Chronographia*, de Boor, C. (ed.), *Theophanis Chronographia* I (Lipsiae 1883), p. 315.14-16.

Constantine VII Porphyrogenitos on the usefulness of the Pechenegs against the Rus' and the Hungarians:

Ὅτι τοῦ βασιλέως Ῥωμαίων μετὰ τῶν Πατζινακιτῶν εἰρηνεύοντος, οὔτε <οἱ> Ῥῶς πολέμου νόμῳ κατὰ τῆς Ῥωμαίων ἐπικρατείας, οὔτε οἱ Τοῦρκοι δύνανται ἐπελθεῖν, ἀλλ' οὔτε ὑπὲρ τῆς εἰρήνης μεγάλα καὶ ὑπέρογκα χρήματά τε καὶ πράγματα παρὰ τῶν Ῥωμαίων δύνανται ἀπαιτεῖν, δεδιότες τὴν διὰ τοῦ τοιούτου ἔθνους παρὰ τοῦ βασιλέως κατ' αὐτῶν ἰσχὺν ἐν τῷ ἐκείνους κατὰ Ῥωμαίων ἐκστρατεύειν. Οἱ <γὰρ> Πατζινακίται, καὶ τῇ πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα φιλίᾳ συνδούμενοι καὶ παρ' ἐκείνου διὰ γραμμάτων καὶ δώρων ἀναπειθόμενοι, δύνανται ῥαδίως κατὰ τῆς χώρας τῶν τε Ῥῶς καὶ τῶν Τούρκων ἐπέρχεσθαι καὶ ἐξανδραποδίζεσθαι τὰ τούτων γύναια καὶ παιδάρια καὶ ληΐζεσθαι τὴν χώραν αὐτῶν.

Moravcsik, G. (ed.) – Jenkins, R.J.H. (trans.), *Constantine Porphyrogenitus, De administrando imperio* (CFHB 1, Washington, D.C. 1967), pp. 50.3-52.13.