



Summary :

The fortifications that were built in Constantinople in order to replace the original walls of Constantine I date to the period of Theodosios II (408-450) and were repaired many times throughout the centuries. The walls of Theodosios had three defensive lines (moat, outer wall and main wall) and remained practically impenetrable until 1453.

Date

413/422 – 18th c.

Geographical Location

Constantinople, Istanbul

Topographical Location

1. Historical context

The original fortifications of Constantinople were built by its founder, Emperor Constantine I (307-337). According to the tradition, he drew the line along which the land walls of city would be built. However, from the end of the 4th c., the increase of population in Constantinople resulted in a lack of space and the tendency to extend the urban web outside the [walls of Constantinople](#). At the same time, the appearance of the [Huns](#) in the northern border of Byzantine Empire in the beginning of the 5th c. rendered essential the construction of a more powerful defensive line, since the western part of the fortifications of Constantinople was easiest to take with an attack from the plain of Thrace.¹

For these reasons, in the beginnings of the reign of [Theodosios II](#) (408-450), Anthemios, [praetorian prefect](#) the East and governor of the empire (because the emperor was still underage and did not take part in the decision-making) undertook the initiative to erect a new line of defensive walls around two kilometres westwards of the walls of Constantine. The new walls were named Theodosian, in honour of the emperor. With their later additions and rebuilding, they protected effectively the capital of the Empire for roughly one millennium and they are considered by modern researchers as an exceptional example of military architecture of Late Antiquity and Middle Ages.

2. The construction of the Theodosian walls

Scholars have not concluded on the exact date of the construction of the walls, but in all likelihood the decision for the erection of new fortifications was taken shortly after 408 and the initial works had been completed by 413.² The inhabitants of Constantinople worked for their building, while the expenses were covered by contributions by the wealthy citizens and the [Demoi](#). One third of the income from taxation was used to cover the cost of their maintenance.

The first to be built was the main wall (in the contemporary sources it is mentioned as “inner” or “great wall”). It was 5.650 metres long, it had 96 towers and it extended from the coast of Propontis until the region of [Blachernai](#), which was protected by a pre-existing wall. In 447 the wall suffered serious damages because of a powerful [earthquake](#), which destroyed 57 towers. At the time, the situation was critical, because the Huns under the leadership of Attila threatened Constantinople. Thus, with the initiative of prefect Constantine, the citizens hurried to repair the wall, a work that was completed in two months.³ At the same time, an outer wall was also built, also referred to as “*proteixisma*”, which also reached until Blachernai, and a moat was also opened which extended from Propontis until the [Golden Horn](#). A little earlier, in 439, the prefect Cyrus Panopolites had extended the sea walls so that they would be connected with the new defensive line.⁴ In the ends of the two walls there were the *brachialia*, small walls that extended to the sea and restrained access to the land in front of the sea wall.

3. Description of the Theodosian walls



3.1. The main wall

The inner wall was founded on natural rock; it was 5 metres thick, 10 metres high and had bastions of 2 metres. Its two faces were built by carefully squared limestone, while the core was rubble set in mortar. At intervals bands of five courses of red bricks went right through the wall, binding it together and creating a fine aesthetic effect.⁵

Every 60-70 metres along the wall there was a tower; most of these towers were square, 20 were polygonal, mainly octagonal. In the south part of the walls square and octagonal towers interchanged, while in the middle part almost all the towers were square. The reasons of this alternation are not known, but apparently it was not dictated by any strategic purpose. The towers were 15-16 metres high and they projected 10 metres from the wall. Their masonry (massive walls, 2 m. thick), which is not connected with the wall, shows that they were erected after the the enceinte was completed. The towers had two levels, which for reasons of safety did not communicate with each other. The ground floor was still useful as warehouse or it was ceded to the use of citizens, while the upper storey was accessible only from the **wallwalk**, and ballistic machines (catapults) were placed there. The roofs of the towers were crowned by battlements.

3.2. The outer wall

At 13, 50 metres from the inner wall (the distance was decreased to less than 4 metres around the towers) the outer wall or *proteixisma* was situated. In all probability it was built after the earthquake of 447, in order to ensure the defence of city in case a new earthquake damaged the great wall (the low height of the outer wall made it more resistant to earthquake shocks). In this period (5th c.) the existence of an outer wall was usual in the fortifications of other cities too (such as in Thessalonica and **Nicaea**). The outer wall was 4 metres high above the level of the *peribolos* (the wide terrace between the two walls), but, since the area on the outside was at a lower level, the height of its external side was double. It was 4,50 m. thick; 1,30 were compact wall and the rest consisted of 2.500 **relieving arches**; on the **lunette** of each one of them a loophole was opened. The arches supported the wallwalk that were crowned with battlements.

The towers of the outer wall were alternately square and semicylindrical, placed in such a way, so that behind them stood the curtain of the main wall, and the towers of the main wall stood mainly behind the curtain of the outer wall. This was obviously intended from the start, so that the two walls covered each other. The towers were hardly 0,50 metres higher than the outer wall and projected around 5 metres from it. On the upper-storey walls loopholes were opened on the level of the wallwalk, and their tops were crenellated. Certain square towers had also a chamber with a small door leading outside on ground level, in order to facilitate the sorties of the defenders.⁶

3.3. The moat

Around 20 metres from the outer wall (the outer walk was called *parateichion*) there was the moat, the first line defence of the Theodosian walls. It was 15-20 metres wide and 5-7 metres deep.⁷ Its sides were built with stones, while per intervals there were stone dams which prevented the water from pouring into the Golden Horn because of the altitude difference. Above the inner side of the moat raised low wall, 2 metres high.⁸

4. The gates

There were many gates in the Theodosian walls, the most important of which were, beginning from Propontis, the First Military Gate (known and as Gate of Christ), the **Golden Gate** (a pre-existing **triumphal arch** that was incorporated to the Theodosian wall), the Second Military Gate, the Gate of Pege or of Selymbria (from this point the soldiers of Alexios Strategopoulos entered in the City in July 1261), the Third Military Gate, the Gate of Reghion, the Fourth Military Gate, the Gate of Saint Romanos or Resion, the Fifth Military Gate and finally the Gate of Charision or Andrianople. In the inner surrounding wall the gates were framed by strong square towers, apart from the gate of Charision, where the towers were semi-circular.⁹



5. Later additions

The triple area of defence (moat, outer wall, inner wall), terminated 1000 metres away from the Golden Horn, rendering the [region of the Blachernai](#) the most susceptible point of defence of Constantinople, targeted by the [Avars](#) in 626 and the knights of the [fourth Crusade](#) in 1203-1204. As it is natural, the later efforts of Byzantines to strengthen the land walls of Constantinople were focused in the construction of the new walls in this particular region.

The first addition after the 5th c. in the Theodosian walls was made by [Herakleios](#) (610-641) after 626. Because he wanted to protect the -until then defenceless- church of [Virgin Mary of Blachernai](#) (in the miraculous intervention of which the citizens attributed the repulse of the Avars), the emperor built or strengthened a pre-existing wall, the so-called Pteron, from the Blachernai until the Golden Horn. The new wall was reinforced with small square towers. In 813 emperor [Leo V the Armenian](#) (813-820), strengthened the fortification of the region which was waiting for the attack from the Bulgarians of Kroumos, by building a high outer wall 20 metres away from the Pteron. The great height of the wall of Leo V complicated the overlying shot from the Pteron, and had as a result to make the last one useless. Later however, during the reign of Michael II (820-829), the Pteron was reinforced with three hexagonal towers of 26 metres. Catapults were placed in the top floor of these towers which could shoot over the wall of Leo.

The last Byzantine addition in the Theodosian wall was made by the emperor [Manuel I Komnenos](#) (1143-1180), who built one long wall in order to fortify the region of the palace of Blachernai from the West. The new wall (the different construction of which is immediately distinguished in relation to the Theodosian wall) was 15-18 metres high and 3, 75 metres thick, strengthened in its interior with [buttresses](#). It had massive petal-shaped, circular, square and polygonal towers.

6. Repairs

Apart from the additions, various repairs in the Theodosian walls and towers are occasionally mentioned (mainly in inscriptions), either after the damage by earthquakes or hostile action, or in the context of preparations for the repulse of an attack. The main phases of repairs are dated in the last years of Justinian I (527-565) and his successors, in the beginning of the 8th c. (Justinian II, Anastasius II and Theodosius IV, obviously while waiting an attack by the Arabs), around 740 after an earthquake, after the [recapture](#) of the Constantinople by [Michael VIII Palaiologos](#) (1258-1282) and his successors, as well as in the middle of the 14th c., in the midst of the civil war between [John V Palaiologos](#) and John VI Kantakouzenos. The last line of repairs is dated between 1432 and 1441 and it was focused in the exterior wall, which constituted also the in the main defence of the Byzantines at the [siege of 1453](#).

7. The land walls after 1453

7.1. Ottoman additions and repairs

The usefulness of the Theodosian wall did not cease with the fall. On the contrary, one of the first concerns of sultan Mehmet II (1451-1481) was the repairs of parts of the wall that had suffered the bigger damage during of siege. In 1457 the Ottomans proceeded in the last addition in the history of walls, manufacturing the fortress of Yedikule in southern end of the walls. The fortress was petal-shaped and it was created with the construction of three towers in the interior of the main wall, which were linked with each other with a strong wall. Its fifth side was the incorporated into the fortress part of the Theodosian walls between towers 8 and 11; this part also included the Golden Gate with its two towers. The four Byzantine towers and the three newer ones are those that gave to the fortress its name (Yedikule/ Heptapyrgion, mean. a fortress of seven towers). Repairs in the Theodosian wall took place also in 1509, as well as in 1635. Then the walls were reconstructed to a large degree, and at the same time the surfaces were covered with mortar. A characteristic of the repairs in the Ottoman period are the scattered "patches" in the areas made of plinth along the Theodosian wall, where new plinths were placed in parallel with the facade of the wall and not vertically. In 1656 new repairs took place, while the walls suffered important damages during the big earthquakes of 1690 and 1709. The last effort to reconstruct the land walls was an initiative of sultan Achmet III and is dated between 1722 and 1724.¹⁰

7.2. The restoration program in the 20th c.



After the big scale repairs in the beginning of the 18th c., the Theodosian walls ceased to be considered as a useful line of defence and they were left to their fate. The lack of maintenance affected the statics of the building, and the moat was gradually filled up and today is used mainly for the cultivation.

Before the Second World War the walls became the object of close study by German archaeologists, who published their conclusions in two volumes.¹¹ At the second half of the 20th c., efforts began from the local authorities, financed partly by UNESCO, to refurbish the Theodosian wall. This effort of 1970 and 1980 received a lot of criticism, because many towers and parts of walls were reconstructed, instead of maintaining and protecting the archaeological remains. With the political change in 1994 the work stopped. The bad quality of the aesthetic interventions of the program was exposed in August 1999, when the big earthquake that struck the region destroyed a large part of the refurbished wall and many towers, but left almost intact the genuine Byzantine parts.¹²

1. Dagron, G., *Η γέννηση μιας πρωτεύουσας. Η Κωνσταντινούπολη και οι θεσμοί της (330-451)*, trns. Μ. Λουκάκη (Αθήνα 2000), p. 107, 130-1.
2. Speck, P., "Der Mauerbau in sechzig Tagen. Zum Datum der Errichtung der Landmauer von Konstantinopel mit einem Anhang über die Datierung der Notitia Urbis Constantinopolitanae", στο: Beck, H.-G. (ed.), *Studien zur Frühgeschichte Konstantinopels* (München 1973), pp. 135-178. According to Dagron, G., *Η γέννηση μιας πρωτεύουσας. Η Κωνσταντινούπολη και οι θεσμοί της (330-451)*, trns. Μ. Λουκάκη (Αθήνα 2000), p. 130, works must have taken place in the years between 412 and 422.
3. Delehaye, H. (ed.), *Synaxarium Ecclesiae Constantinopolitanae* (Brussels 1902), 425; Dindorf, L. (ed.), *Chronicon paschale* 1 (CSHB, Bonn 1832), pp. 586, 589; Dindorf, L. (ed.), *Ioannis Malalae chronographia* (CSHB, Bonn 1831), p. 363; de Boor, C. (ed.), *Theophanis Chronographia* 1 (Leipzig 1883), p. 125.
4. Dindorf, L. (ed.), *Chronicon paschale* 1 (CSHB, Bonn 1832), p. 583; Zonaras, XIII 22.49, ed. T. Büttner-Wobst, *Ioannis Zonarae epitomae historiarum libri xviii*, 3 (CSHB, Bonn 1897), p. 106; Pseudo-Codinos, *Patria Constantinopoleos*, ed. T. Preger, *Scriptores originum Constantinopolitanarum* (Leipzig 1907, repr. 1975), p. 252.
5. See Πασαδαίος, Α., «Παρατηρήσεις επί της αισθητικής αξίας των Θεοδοσιανών τειχών», *Αρχαιολογική Εφημερίς* (1968), pp. 59-76.
6. Meyer-Plath, B. - Schneider, A.M., *Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel* (Berlin 1943), pp. 84-92 and fig. 21; Janin, R., *Constantinople Byzantine, Développement urbain et répertoire topographique* (Paris 1964), p. 250.
7. Meyer-Plath, B. - Schneider, A.M., *Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel* (Berlin 1943), pp. 36-7 and 20-3. It is believed that these structures played some role in the water supply of the city, especially in time of peace, since it is uncertain whether the moat was always full of water.
8. Krischen, F. - von Lüpke, T., *Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel* (Berlin 1938), fig. 4; Tsangadas, B., *The Fortifications and Defenses of Constantinople* (New York 1980), p. 13.
9. Janin, R., *Constantinople Byzantine, Développement urbain et répertoire topographique* (Paris 1964), pp. 250-268; van Millingen, A., *Byzantine Constantinople: the walls and the adjoining historical sites* (London 1899), pp. 59-95; Meyer-Plath, B. - Schneider, A.M., *Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel* (Berlin 1943), pp. 11-16 and 37-92.
10. Foss, C. - Winfield, D., *Byzantine Fortifications: An Introduction* (Pretoria 1986), pp. 42, 65.
11. Krischen, F. - von Lüpke, T., *Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel* (Berlin 1938); Meyer-Plath B. - Schneider A. M., *Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel*, 1-2 (Berlin 1943).
12. Turnbull, S., *The Walls of Constantinople AD 324-1453* (Fortress 25, London 2004), p. 60.



Bibliography :

	Winfield D., Foss C. , <i>Byzantine Fortifications. An Introduction</i> , Pretoria 1986
	Dagron G. , <i>Η γέννηση μιας πρωτεύουσας. Η Κωνσταντινούπολη και οι θεσμοί της από το 330 ως το 451</i> , ΜΙΕΤ, Αθήνα 2000, Λουκάκη, Μ. (μτφρ.)
	Πασαδαίος Α. , <i>Ο Κεραμοπλαστικός Διάκοσμος των Βυζαντινών Κτηρίων της Κωνσταντινουπόλεως</i> , Αθήνα 1973
	Schneider A.M., Meyer-Plath B. , <i>Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel, 1-2</i> , Berlin 1943, Denkmaler Antiker Architektur VI und VIII
	van Millingen A. , <i>Byzantine Constantinople. The Walls of the City and Adjoining Historical Sites</i> , London 1899
	Mango C. , <i>Le développement urbain de Constantinople (IVe-VIIe siècles)</i> , 2, Paris 1985, Travaux et Mémoires, Monographies 2
	Janin R. , <i>Constantinople byzantine. Développement urbain et répertoire topographique</i> , 2, Paris 1964
	Lawrence A.W. , "A skeletal history of byzantine fortification", <i>The Annual of the British School at Athens</i> , 78, 1983, 177-180
	Turnbull S. , <i>The Walls of Constantinople AD 324-1453</i> , Oxford 2004, Fortress 25
	Asutay-Effenberger N. , <i>Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel. Historisch-topographische und baugeschichtliche Untersuchungen</i> , Berlin 2007
	Krischen F., von Lüpke T. , <i>Die Landmauer von Konstantinopel</i> , Berlin 1938, Denkmaler Antiker Architektur IV
	Tsangadas B. , <i>The Fortifications and Defenses of Constantinople</i> , New York 1980, East European Monographs 71
	Speck P. , "Der Mauerbau in sechzig Tagen. Zum Datum der errichtung der Landmauer von Konstantinopel mit einem Anhang über die Datierung der Notitia Urbis Constantinopolitanae", H.G. Beck (ed.), <i>Studien zur Frühgeschichte Konstantinopels</i> , München 1973, 135-178
	Πασαδαίος Α. , "Παρατηρήσεις επί της αισθητικής αξίας των Θεοδοσιανών τειχών", <i>Αρχαιολογική Εφημερίς</i> , 1968, 59-76

Webliography :

	Recent Work on the Land Walls of Istanbul: Tower 2 to Tower 5 http://www.doaks.org/publications/doaks_online_publications/DOP54/DP54ch12.pdf
	Theodosian Walls http://www.byzantium1200.com/landwall.html

Glossary :

	Buttress
--	----------



wooden or stone abutment for an apse or wall.

praetorian prefect (praefectus praetorio)

Commander of the emperor's bodyguard under the principate. During the reign of Constantine I the praetorian prefect becomes a dignitary responsible for the administrative unit called the prefecture, which was subdivided into dioceses. In 400 A.D. there were four such praetorian prefectures, of Oriens, of Illyricum, of Italia and Africa and of Gallia. The praetorian prefects were second only to the emperor. The praetorian prefect of Oriens was the mightiest among prefects. His office is for the last time mentioned in 680.

relieving arch

The relieving arches are built over openings on the wall or, in case of fortifications, on the inside of the curtain wall, to help carry the weight of the elevation.

triumphal arch

(Rom.) A structure in the shape of a monumental archway, built to celebrate the victory of a Roman general or Emperor.
(Byz. Archit.) The arch formed above the Horaia Pyle (Royal Door), which frames the curve of the conch of the apse and separates the bema from the nave.

tympanum (lunette)

(Rom., Byz.) The arched panel (lunette) inside an arch or an arcosolium.

wallwalk (peridromos)

The platform at the foot of the parapet which made it easy to move quickly between towers and from which the defenders can safely fire over the parapet.

Sources

Delehaye H. (ed.), *Synaxarium Ecclesiae Constantinopolitanae. Propylaeum ad Acta Sanctorum mensis Novembris* (Brussels 1902).

Dindorf, L. (ed.), *Chronicon paschale 1* (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1832).

Dindorf, L. (ed.), *Ioannis Malalae chronographia* (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1831).

de Boor, C. (ed.), *Theophanis Chronographia 1* (Leipzig 1883, ανατ. Hildesheim 1963).

Zonaras, XIII 22.49, ed. T. Büttner-Wobst, *Ioannis Zonarae epitomae historiarum libri xviii*, 3 (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1897).

Pseudo-Codinos, *Patria Constantinopoleos*, ed. T. Preger, *Scriptores originum Constantinopolitanarum* (Leipzig 1907, ανατ. 1975).

Greco, V. (ed.), *Ducae Historia turco-byzantina (1341-1462)* (Bucarest 1958).

Reinsch, D.R. (ed.), *Critobuli Imbriotae Historiae* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 22, Berlin – New York 1983).

Maisano, R. (ed.), *Georgii Sphrantzae Chronicon* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 29, Roma 1990).

Bekker, I. (ed.), *Laonici Chalcocondylae Atheinsis historiarum libri decem* (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1834).

Reinsch, D.R. (ed.), *Critobuli Imbriotae Historiae* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 22, Berlin – New York 1983).

Maisano, R. (ed.), *Georgii Sphrantzae Chronicon* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 29, Roma 1990).

Bekker, I. (ed.), *Laonici Chalcocondylae Atheinsis historiarum libri decem* (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1834).

Reinsch, D.R. (ed.), *Critobuli Imbriotae Historiae* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 22, Berlin – New York 1983).

Maisano, R. (ed.), *Georgii Sphrantzae Chronicon* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 29, Roma 1990).



Bekker, I. (ed.), *Laonici Chalcocondylae Atheinsis historiarum libri decem* (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1834).

Chronological Table

408-413/412-422: Construction of the walls

439: The new wall extended to meet the sea walls (of Propontis?)

447: Damages on the wall because of an earthquake. It is immediately repaired with the efforts of the people of the city, under the threat of the Huns of Attila

2nd half of the 6th c.: Repairs on the walls by Justinian I and his heirs

610-641: The enceinte is completed with the wall of Herakleios (the Pteron) in the region of Blachernae

early 8th c.: Repairs on the wall under the threat of the Arabs

740: An earthquake causes damages on the walls. Repairs

813: A tall outer wall added to the wall of Blachernae by Leo V the Armenian

820-829: The Pteron reinforced with three hexagonal towers

after 1261: Repairs on the Theodosian walls by Michael VIII

mid-14th c.: Further repairs

1432-1441: Repairs on the outer wall

1453: Fall of Constantinople

1457: Yedikule is erected in the Golden Gate area

1509, 1635, 1656: Ottoman repairs on the walls

1690, 1709: Damages caused by earthquakes

1722-1724: Renovation of the walls by Sultan Ahmed III. After the 18th c. the walls are no longer considered as an actual line of defence